

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,182 10/19/2001		/19/2001	Michel Pairet	1/1244	5693
28501	7590	11/29/2002			
		ELHEIM CORF	EXAM	EXAMINER	
900 RIDGEBU P. O. BOX 368		ΔD	SHEIKH, HUMERA N		
RIDGEFIELD	, CT 068	377	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		,						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
7		10/007,182	PAIRET ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Humera N. Sheikh	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<u>lune 2002 (paper no.6)</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
•	Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application	l.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•						
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
a)	<i>,</i> ,	s have been received						
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt of the Declaration and the Priority

Papers (Certified Copy), both filed 03/29/02, the Priority Papers filed 10/19/01 and the

Transmittal Letter for the IDS filed 06/27/02.

Claims 1-58 are pending. Claims 1-58 are subject to an Election/Restriction

requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

1. Claims 1-51 and 54-56, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition

comprising (a) a tiotropium salt and (b) an antihistamine and a method of

treating allergic or non-allergic rhinitis and a kit comprising one or more

unit dosage containers containing a pharmaceutical composition,

classified in class 424, subclasses 489, 45 and 451.

II. Claim 52, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition consisting essentially of

(a) a tiotropium salt, (b) an antihistamine, (c) a solvent, (d) benzalkonium

chloride and (e) sodium edetate, classified in class 514, subclass 566.

Page 2

**Art Unit: 1615** 

III. Claim 53, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition consisting essentially of

(a) a tiotropium salt, (b) an antihistamine, (c) a solvent and (d)

benzalkonium chloride, classified in class 514, subclasses 643 and 751.

IV. Claims 57-58, drawn to a kit comprising (a) a first container and (b) a

second container, classified in class 424, subclass 400.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III and IV, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for Group II is not required for Groups I, III and IV, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for Group III is not required for Groups I, II and IV, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1615

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group <u>IV</u> is not required for Groups I, II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

## Election of Form:

- I. Powder (inhalable)
- II. Aerosol (propellant-containing metering)
- III. Inhalable Solution or Suspension (propellant-free)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 1615

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Humera Sheikh whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00A.M.

to 4:30P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORS PAYENT EXAMINER

Page 5

ECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600